


## 2025-26 CGR - Staintondale

This report was generated on 23/12/25. Overall 37 respondents completed this questionnaire. The report has been filtered to show the responses for 'All Respondents'. A total of 37 cases fall into this category.

The following charts are restricted to the top 12 codes. Lists are restricted to the most recent 100 rows.

Please tick which best describes you:



I am a representative of a community organisation in Staintondale Parish Co... (-)

---

Cloughton

Ravenscar. It seems that the original boundary of Bloody Beck as it passes Bell Hill Farm is a simply defined and logical boundary. Change is totally unnecessary and contrived.

Cloughton - but property crosses border with Staintondale


---

Ravenscar

Please state the first part of your postcode:  
(for example YO21)

YO13	YO13	Yo13	Yo13	YO13	YO13	YO13	YO13	YO13	YO13	YO13	yo13
YO13	YO13	Yo13	YO13	YO13	YO13	YO13	YO13	YO13	Yo13	YO13	Yo13
YO13	Yo13	YO13	YO13	Yo13	Yo13	YO13	YO13	YO13	Yo13	YO13	YO13
YO13											

Do you think the boundary line should remain at the current position, or as described in the 1950 parish council order?



## Please give reasons for your response:

I am at a loss to understand why the County Council is devoting any resources to this question, it is a complete waste of time and money. As there are only 9 electors affected, and they live in only four properties, would it not be more cost effective just to send one letter to each property and ask the electors which ward they would prefer to be in? In your letter you state that you are only carrying out reviews where you believe there is a strong reason to do so. I don't believe, in this case, that such a reason exists and I suggest that it is highly unlikely that the nine people potentially affected care one way or another.

Seems to make little difference

It works well.

1950 order was agreed and implemented. The current arrangement has had no discussion or agreed implementation and appears to have been inadvertent.


Boundary line

This should not have been changed

Reinstate original boundary that shouldn't ever have been moved in the first place and for no logical reason

Please reinstate the original boundary.

Reinstate original boundary

 We have many friends in the parish and those affected were shocked and upset to discover that their boundary had changed without their knowledge. They would like it changed back to how it was when they bought their properties and we fully understand and support their request.

Bloody Beck is a simply defined and easy recognisable boundary rather than lines drawn on a map. Why change it? Seems pointless in view of the small number of properties and residents actually affected. Keep Bloody Beck as the boundary!

There is no good reason for the change. As the review points out the motive for the change cannot be identified. Residents affected by the change object to it. We wish to support their position and their request to revert to the 1950 position.

No reason to have been changed

The old boundary line mainly follows geographical features.

Reasons for change have not been identified.

Original Boundary

Because the boundary was changed without consultation. I understand that the Parish Council were not informed of the change. Also The Beck is the natural boundary.

Reverting back to how it should be and gives a more equal population balance

Gives a more equal population

Please can it be reinstated as no one was informed of the change

There was no consultation with regard to moving , therefore it should be where it was in the 1950 parish council order.

Lack of consultation and transparency in the decision making.

There has been no consultation as to why the decision to move the boundary has been moved. There is a lack of transparency for local residents in this decision.

The 1950 position makes far more sense, and would be more convenient for people living near Staintondale.

Right place for it

I wouldn't want to be put into the Ravenscar Parish. Both Parishes have their own merrits but are quite different in character.

The boundary shouldn't have been changed.

**Please give reasons for your response:**

Can't see any reason for changing!

Reinstate boundary

To keep both villages together

Ravenscar boundary has always been at bloody beck. It makes sense to keep the boundary as 1950 as new boundary only affects a few houses but lots of land which may be attached to houses in Staintondale boundary. Also why change something if its not broken and works ok with the boundary at 1950

**Is there anything further you would like to add about this community governance review?**

In the case of Staintondale, is a complete waste of resources

No

How much money does this review cost? I would have thought tax payers money could be better spent helping the homeless, supplying more buses, etc

Over complicated process to access a simple form with 3 simple questions at the end.

This Community Governance review has not been widely communicated within the community. The simple questionnaire is hard to access. Over complicated process to get point across.

This form is not easy accessible

Although the number of properties affected may be small this doesn't alter the impact of such a change to those residents affected.

Seems totally pointless.

The review has not been well communicated. It has been extremely difficult to find a way to join the consultation and the website does not make this easy. If there is good reason for the changed position of the border (from the 1950 position) than that should be clearly communicated.

This was difficult to find on your website

Residents should be given the opportunity to see an agenda for parish council meeting before it takes place.

As a resident of this parish, I feel that all residents should be given a copy of parish council agendas so that we can be informed of decisions.

Reinstate correct boundary